



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,790	08/05/2003	Lap-Wai Chow	B-4424NP 620844-4	2741
36716	7590 05/27/2005	EXAMINER		INER
LADAS & PARRY			TRAN, MAI HUONG C	
	5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90036-5679		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2818	
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/635,790	CHOW ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
_	Mai-Huong Tran	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	,					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ma	ay 2005.					
<u> </u>						
<del>'</del> =						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 May 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The dail of decidation is objected to by the Ext	armier. Note the attached Office	7,000,707,101111,100,102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ul>						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-152)  6) Other:						

## **Response to Amendment**

This Office Action is in response to Amendment filed on 05/06/2005.

Claims 1-5 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Background of the invention.

Regarding to claim 1-5, Figure 1A of Background of the Invention discloses a semiconductor connection comprising at least two active areas 22, 26; an electrically conductive doped channel providing an electrical connection between at least two active areas; and a first conductive layer 28 disposed over a first portion of the electrically conductive channel 22, 23; and a second conductive layer 28 disposed over a second portion of the electrically conductive channel 25, 26 wherein the first and second conductive layers 28 are spaced apart from one another (specification, pages 1-4). Figure

1A discloses a third portion of the electrically conductive doped channel 24 between the first conductive layer 28 and the second conductive layer 28. Figure 1A does not disclose the third portion of the electrically conductive doped channel between the first conductive layer and the second conductive layer is uncovered. However, Figure 1B shows the third portion between the first conductive layer 28 and the second conductive layer 28 is uncovered.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the third portion of the electrically conductive doped channel between the first conductive layer and the second conductive layer is uncovered.

## **Response to Arguments**

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

However, Figures 1A and 1B of the Background of the Invention have overcome those arguments with the new ground of rejection.

Therefore, for the above reason, it is believed that the rejection should be sustained. Feature of an invention not found in the claims can be given no patentable weight in distinguishing the claimed invention over the prior art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/635,790

Art Unit: 2818

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Page 4

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than

SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mai-Huong Tran, (571) 272-1796. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7724. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Supervisory Patent Examiner **Technology Center 2800**